1 2	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007	EILED				
3	Sacramento, CA 95818-7007	APR 12 2011				
4	Telephone: (916) 227-0789	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By A WAY				
5		By X TWO C				
6						
7		-				
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE					
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10	***					
11	In the Matter of:) NO. H-4185 SD				
12	SUB 500 MORTGAGE, INC., and) NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY BAR				
13	MICHAEL STEPHEN MONACO,) ORDER AND INTENTION TO ISSUE FINAL BAR ORDER				
14	Respondents.	(B&P Code § 10087)				
15	TO GUD 500 MORTCACE INC					
16	TO: SUB 500 MORTGAGE, INC Michael Stephen Monaco, CEO and Supervising Broker					
17	16870 West Bernardo Drive Suite 120					
18	San Diego, CA 92067					
19	MICHAEL STEPHEN MONACO 16870 West Bernardo Drive					
20	Suite 120					
21	San Diego, CA 92067					
22	(COLLECTIVELY "RESPONDENTS" and/or "you")					
23	PRELIMINARY BAR ORDER					
24	Effective Immediately and pursuant to Section 10087(c) of the Business and					
26	Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code"), RESPONDENTS, and each of them, are					
27	prohibited for a period of thirty-six (36) months	from the date of this order from engaging				
<i>'</i>						

activity involving real estate that is subject to regulation under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and the Commissioner's Regulations (Title 10, Chapter 6 of the California Code of Regulations).

Pursuant to Section 10087(b) of the Code, Respondents are hereby notified of the intention of the California Real Estate Commissioner (herein "Commissioner") to issue a Final Bar Order pursuant to Section 10087(a) (1) and/or (2) of the Code¹ based upon the allegations, declarations and judgment contained in the court files of Ernesto Varquez-Ellias, et al v.

Michael Monaco, et al, Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case Number 37-2008-00096318 CU-BC-CTL which is the subject of the Accusation filed on or about February 17, 2011 by the Department of Real Estate in Case No. H-4167 SD. A true and correct copy of the Accusation with exhibits is attached hereto as "Exhibit A" and is incorporated herein by reference.

NOTICE OF RIGHT AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

Pursuant to Section 10087 of the California Business and Professions Code, you have the right to request a hearing under the California Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 4.5 – commencing with Section 11400 of the Government Code). If you desire a hearing, you must submit a written request within fifteen (15) days after the mailing or service of this "Notice of Preliminary Bar Order and Intention to Issue Final Bar Order." The request may be in any

Business and Professions Code Section 10087: (a) In addition to acting pursuant to the authority provided under Sections 10086, 10176, and 10177, the commissioner may, after appropriate notice and opportunity for a hearing, by order, suspend, or bar from any position of employment, management, or control, for a period not exceeding 36 months, a real estate salesperson or real estate broker, or an unlicensed person issued an order under Section 10086, if the commissioner finds either of the following:

⁽¹⁾ That the suspension or bar is in the public interest and that the person has committed or caused a violation of this division or rule or order of the commissioner, which violation was either known or should have been known by the person committing or causing it or has caused material damage to the public.

⁽²⁾ That the person has been convicted of or pleaded nolo contendere to any crime, or has been held liable in any civil action by final judgment, or any administrative judgment by any public agency, if that crime or civil or administrative judgment involved any offense involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit, or any other offense reasonably related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a person engaged in the real estate business in accordance with the provisions of this division.

	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
1Ò	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	,
17	,
18	
19)
20)
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

form provided it is in writing; includes your current return address; indicates that you want a hearing; is signed by you or on your behalf; and is mailed to the Department of Real Estate, P. O. Box 187007, Sacramento, California 95818-7007, attention: Legal Section; or, delivered personally to the offices of the Department of Real Estate, 2201 Broadway, Sacramento, California.

If no hearing is requested within said fifteen (15) day time period, your failure to request a hearing shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing and the Commissioner will issue a final bar order prohibiting you, for a period of thirty-six (36) months, from engaging in any of the following activities in the State of California:

- (A) Holding any position of employment, management, or control in a real estate business;
- (B) Participating in any business activity of a real estate salesperson or a real estate broker;
- (C) Engaging in any real estate related business activity on the premises where a real estate salesperson or real estate broker is conducting business; and,
- (D) Participating in any real estate related business activity of a finance lender, residential mortgage lender, bank, credit union, escrow company, title company, or underwritten title company.

DATED: $\frac{4/8/11}{}$

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

NOTICE

7 8

Pursuant to Section 10185 of the Business and Professions Code:

Any person, including officers, directors, agents or employees of corporations, who willfully violates or knowingly participates in the violation of this (Bar Order) shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or by imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding six months, or by a fine and imprisonment.

KENNETH C. ESPELL, (SBN 178757) Real Estate Counsel II Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007

Telephone: (916) 227-0789

-or- (916) 227-0868 (Direct)

FEB 1 7 2011 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By

TOO

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of

SUB 500 MORTGAGE, INC., and
MICHAEL STEPHEN MONACO,

Respondents.

No. H-4167 SD

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, JOSEPH AIU, in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against MICHAEL STEPHEN MONACO (hereinafter "MONACO") and SUB 500 MORTGAGE, INC (hereinafter "SUB 500") (and collectively referred to as "Respondents") is informed and alleges as follows:

Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "the Code").

At all times mentioned, Respondent SUB 500 was and did have license rights under the Real Estate Law as a corporate real estate broker and is the alter ego of MONACO. On or about August 12, 2009, SUB 500's real estate license expired. On or about May 1, 2009 and continuing to the present the corporate powers, rights and privileges of SUB 500 were

suspended by the California Franchise Tax Board pursuant to the provisions of the California Revenue and Taxation Code and SUB 500's legal standing with the California Office of the Secretary of State was "SUSPENDED." As a result of the suspension of its corporate privileges, SUB 500 was, and no longer is, entitled to conduct business within the State of California; cannot defend itself in any legal action brought against it in California; prosecute a legal action in California; and is unable renew its license as a corporate real estate broker until it has been issued a *Tax Clearance* by the Franchise Tax Board and a *Certificate of Revivor* is issued by the California Secretary of State.

.3

. 3

At all times relevant herein MONACO was licensed by the Department of Real Estate as a real estate broker. MONACO holds the following fictitious business names which are registered with the Department: San Diego Home Loans, San Diego Mortgage, and San Diego Home Loan.

Respondent MONACO was the designated officer/broker of Respondent SUB 500. Pursuant to Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) of the Code, as the designated officer/broker of Respondent SUB 500, Respondent MONACO was at all times mentioned herein responsible for the supervision of the activities of the officers, directors, employees, agents, affiliated corporations, including but not limited to, Investor's Finance Inc., and Monaco Finance & Investments, Inc., and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondent SUB 500.

At all times mentioned, Respondents, and each of them, were engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(d) and 10131(e) of the Code, including, but not limited to, the operation and the conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein Respondents solicited private money lenders and private

borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or a business opportunity, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, consummated and serviced by Respondents on behalf of others and wherein promissory notes or interests therein were sold or purchased on behalf of another or others for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

At all times relevant herein and continuing to the present, Investor's Finance Inc. (hereinafter "IFI") was and is a Hawaii corporation and is the alter ego of MONACO which for compensation or in the expectation of compensation performs services for borrowers and/or lenders in connection with loans secured by real property including loan servicing and loan modification services. MONACO, at all times relevant herein, was and is the Chief Operating Officer of IFI. At all times relevant herein IFI has not been and is not licensed as a corporate real estate broker by the Department, in violation of Sections 10130, 10131(d) and 10137 of the Business and Professions Code, and which constitute separate grounds for the revocation or suspension of MONACO's real estate license and license rights under Section 10177(d) of the Code.

At all times relevant herein Monaco Finance & Investments, Inc., (hereinafter "MFI") was and is the alter ego of MONACO and was acting in the capacity of a "Loan Servicer" for compensation or in the expectation of compensation and on behalf of individual investor/lenders, including, but not limited to, the loan transactions identified herein. However, at all times relevant herein MFI was not and currently is not licensed by the Department as a corporate real estate broker in violation of Sections 10130, 10131(d) and 10137 of the Code and which constitute separate grounds for the revocation of MONACO's real estate license and license rights under Section 10177(d) of the Code.

On or about November 18, 2008 in Ernesto Vazquez- Elias, et al v. Michael

Monaco, et al, Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case Number 37-2008-00096318-CU-BC-CTL, a civil action was brought against Michael Monaco, Wendy Monaco, Monaco Finance & Investment's Inc.¹, Sub 500 Mortgage, Inc. and Investors Finance Company, Inc., a Hawaii corporation, by three (3) plaintiffs. (A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and is incorporated herein by reference.) The complaint alleged fraud, breach of contract and constructive trust upon fraud and conversion. Specifically it was alleged, inter alia, that MONACO, SUB 500, MFI and IFI committed fraud in connection with private money investments the Plaintiffs made through MONACO and SUB 500. The private money investments were to be "loans" made to certain third parties borrowers and were to be secured by Deeds of Trust on certain properties located in California and Arizona. In fact the loans were never made to the third parties borrowers and the funds were never secured by the real property MONACO represented would be the Plaintiffs' security or were secured by properties so over encumbered that the security interest did not provide any security at all.

On or about January 26, 2010 in *Ernesto Vazquez- Elias, et al v. Michael Monaco, et al*, a stipulated judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs for \$733,000 was entered against Defendant/Respondents. (A true and correct copy of the stipulated judgment is attached hereto as Exhibit "2" and is incorporated herein by reference.) In connection with the *Stipulated Judgment*, on March 11, 2009 Monaco executed a declaration wherein he admits the "stipulated judgment stems from fraudulent acts, the nature of which would not be dischargeable if it was forced to be litigated in the Bankruptcy Court in a non-discharebility matter." (sic) (A true and correct copy of the Monaco Declaration is attached hereto as Exhibit "3" and is incorporated herein by reference.) Therefore, Monaco's admission to fraud in his declaration which was the basis for entry of the *Stipulated Judgment* constitutes a violation of Section 10177.5 of the Code

¹ The Complaint originally named Monaco Finance & Investment's Inc., as Monaco Finance. But the complaint was amended to correct the corporate name to Monaco Finance & Investment's Inc.

(Judgment of Fraud in a Civil Action) which constitutes cause under Section 10177.5 of the Code for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law and is grounds for the issuance of a Bar Order against Respondents, and each of them, pursuant to Section 10087 of the Code.

Additionally, Monaco's admission concerning fraud constitutes grounds for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents pursuant to Section 10176 (c) (A Continual and Flagrant Course of Misrepresentation); Section 10176(a) (Making a Substantial Misrepresentation); Section 10176(i) (Fraud or Dishonest Dealing); Section 10177 (j) (Fraud or Dishonest Dealing) and; Section 10177(d) (Willful Violation of Real Estate Law) of the Code and is grounds for the issuance of a Bar Order against Respondents, and each of them, pursuant to Section 10087 of the Business and Professions Code.

MONACO, as the designated officer/broker of Respondent SUB 500 was required to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the activities of Respondent SUB 500. MONACO failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of SUB 500 thereby allowing, permitting and/or ratifying the acts and omissions as described in the paragraphs above to occur, all in violation of Section 10159.2 of the Code, which constitutes cause for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent MONACO under Sections 10177(d) and 10177(h) of the Code (Failure to Exercise Reasonable Supervision Over the Activities of the Corporation, Salespersons and Employees).

22 ////

.8

1.4

23 ////

24 ////

25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered revoking all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law; for the issuance of a Bar Order pursuant to the terms and conditions of Section 10087 of the Code; and for such other and further relief as may be proper under the provisions of law.

JOSEPH AIU

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at San Diego, California,

day of January, 201

CIVIL BUSH Michael B. McDonnell, State Bar No. 107053 Douglas M. Field, State Bar No. 237888 7000 HOV 18 P 4: 17 McDONNELL & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 2040 Harbor Island Drive, Suite 202 CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT 3 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 294-4230 4 Facsimile: (619) 294-4237 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 5 ٠б 7 -8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL DISTRICT 9 10 ERNESTO VAZOUEZ-ELIAS, an individual. 37-2008-00096318-CU-BC-CTL 11 CARMELA DE JÈSUS ARIAS KONG, an individual, and GUSTAVO MARTINEZ SANTOS COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF 12 CONTRACT, FRAUD, Plaintiffs, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST AND DECLARATORY RELIEF 13 VS. 14 MICHAEL MONACO, an individual, WENDY MONACO, an individual, MONACO FINANCE, 15 an unknown business entity, SUB 500 MORTGAGE, INC, an unknown business entity, 16 COMPANY INVESTORS FINANCE, INC., a Hawaii Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100. linclusive 18 19 Defendants. 20 21 COME NOW, ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS, an individual, CARMELA DE JESUS ARIAS KONG, an individual, and GUSTAVO MARTINEZ SANTOS (sometimes collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs") and, for causes of action against MICHAEL MONACO, an individual, 23 24 WENDY MONACO, an individual, MONACO FINANCE, an unknown business entity, SUB 25 500 MORTGAGE, INC, an unknown business entity, COMPANY INVESTORS FINANCE, INC., a Hawaii Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, (sometimes collectively 26 referred to as "Defendants") respectfully alleges as follows: 27 28

COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION

- 1. Plaintiff, ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS (hereinafter "VAZQUEZ" or "Plaintiff") is an individual who, at all times mentioned in this Complaint, was a resident of the County of San Diego, CA.
- 2. Plaintiff, CARMELA DE JESUS ARIAS KONG (hereinafter "KONG" or "Plaintiff") is an individual who, at all times mentioned in this Complaint, was a resident of the County of San Diego, CA.
- 3. Plaintiff, GUSTAVO MARTINEZ SANTOS (hereinafter "SANTOS" or "Plaintiff") is an individual who, at all times mentioned in this Complaint, was a resident of the County of San Diego, CA.

Defendants

- 4. Defendant, MICHAEL MONACO (hereinafter "MONACO" or "Defendant") is an individual who, at all times mentioned in this Complaint, was a resident of the County of San Diego.
- 5. Defendant, WENDY MONACO is an individual who, at all times mentioned in this Complaint, was a resident of the County of San Diego WENDY MONACO, along with MICHAEL MONACO maintains a residence at 7563 Montien Rd., San Diego, CA 92127. WENDY MONACO is the spouse of MONACO, and Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that the property at 7563 Montien Rd., San Diego, CA 92127, described as APN 269-260-10-00, is the community property of MONACO and WENDY MONACO.
- 6. Defendant, MONACO FINANCE (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Defendant") was an unknown business entity, who does business in the County of San Diego, CA.
- 7. Defendant, SUB 5000 MORTGAGE COMPANY (hereinafter "SUB 500" or 'Defendant') is a California Corporation, that does business in the County of San Diego.
- 8. Defendant INVESTORS FINANCE, INC (hereinafter "IFI" or "Defendant") is a Hawaii Corporation doing business in the County of San Diego, CA.
 - 9. Plaintiff is ignorant of the names of those defendants listed herein as DOES 1

13

through 100 inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. When Plaintiff has ascertained the true names and capacities of these fictitiously named defendants, Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to amend this complaint.

- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs' losses, as herein alleged, were proximately caused by their actions.
- 11. Based on information and belief and alleged thereon, at all times herein mentioned, each defendant was and is the agent, representative, servant, independent contractor, subcontractor, partner, joint venturer, alter ego, successor-in-interest, affiliate, subsidiary, and/or employee of each or some of the other defendants, and, in doing those acts herein referred to, was acting within the course and scope of its authority as such and with the express and/or implied permission, knowledge, consent, and ratification of all said other defendants.
- particular defendant, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that said Defendant and its officers, directors, agents, representatives, and employees, did authorize such act while actively engaged in the management direction or control of that Defendant, and while acting within the course and scope of their employment.
- 13. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction in that the acts giving rise to this lawsuit, which are described more fully below, occurred within this Court's jurisdictional area. Further, the relief sought through this Civil Complaint is within the jurisdiction of this Court as damages are believed to be well in excess of \$1,000,000.00

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

- 14. MICHAEL MONACO is/was the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Chairman of IFI.
- 15. IFI operates as a licensed financial services loan company primarily as an originator and broker of first and second mortgage loans. The principal services of IFI are the origination, brokerage and servicing of residential and commercial mortgages.
 - 16. IFI formed the wholly owned subsidiary, SUB 500, a California Corporation at

10080 Carroll Canyon Road, San Diego, California.

17. SUB 500 was allegedly formed to originate and fund mortgage loans in the State of Hawaii and California for the purpose of assisting credit impacted borrowers who are unable to acquire mortgage loans in the marketplace.

FRAUD

(Against Michael Monaco, Monaco Finance, Sub 500 Mortgage, Inc., Company Investors Finance, Inc., and Does 1 through 100)

- 18. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate Paragraphs 1 through 17 and alleges the same as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. MONACO, on behalf of himself, and as an Officer of IFI, SUB 500 and MONACO FINANCE, falsely and fraudulently represented investment opportunities to Plaintiffs in the manner herein alleged.
- 20. When Defendants made these representations they knew them to be false, and these representations were made by defendant with the intent to defraud and deceive Plaintiffs and with the intent to induce Plaintiffs to act in the manner herein alleged. At the time Defendants made the herein described representations, Defendants had no intention of performing as represented.
- 21. Plaintiffs, at the time these representations were made by Defendants and at the time Plaintiffs took the actions herein alleged, were ignorant of the falsity of Defendants' representations and believed them to be true. In reliance on these representations, Plaintiffs were induced to and did provide Defendants with the sums of money more fully described below in the belief that Plaintiffs were funding loans which were being secured by Deeds of Trust. Had Plaintiffs known the actual facts, Plaintiffs would not have taken such action.
- As a proximate result of Defendants' fraud and deceit and the facts herein below alleged, Plaintiffs have been damaged in a sum uncertain, believed to be in excess of \$1,000,000.00, to be proven at trial.
- 23. In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants acted with oppression, fraud, and malice. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to punitive damages.

24. The following accounts, entitled, Williams Loan, Mitchell Loan, Blackburn Loan, and Bradley Loan, detail instances of currently known frauds, perpetrated by Defendants against Plaintiffs. The titles are misnomers as no such loans were ever made.

Williams Loan

- 25. The WILLIAMS LOAN allegedly involved a \$300,000 loan at Fourteen Percent (14%) to Andre and Karen Williams ("WILLIAMS") Defendants represented to Plaintiffs, that the monthly payment on the loan was to be \$3,500.00 with the first three years being interest only. The property, located at 14530 Lakeshore Drive was appraised at Six Hundred Fifty Thousand (\$650,000.00) for a Loan to Value of Forty Six Percent (46%).
- 26. On or about January 11, 2008, WILLIAMS signed a note promising to pay Three Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$300,000.00) plus interest to the order of the Lender, SUB 500.
- 27. On or about January 11, 2008, SUB 500, by and through MICHAEL MONACO prepared a Deed of Trust allegedly securing the WILLIAMS LOAN. At the time MICHAEL MONACO prepared the Deed of Trust, MICHAEL MONACO had no intention of delivering a loan to WILLIAMS.
- 28. On or about January 14, 2008, SUB 500 by and through MICHAEL MONACO prepared an Assignment of Deed of Trust. By this assignment, Defendants represented in writing that SUB 500, for value received, transferred the Deed of Trust dated January 11, 2008 executed by WILLIAMS to VAZQUEZ. The notarized assignment was signed by MONACO, C.E.O. of SUB 500.
- 29. Similarly, on January 14, 2008, MONACO signed a Bill of Sale and Assignment representing that:

SUB 500 Mortgage., ('Seller') hereby agrees to sell assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest in that certain residential mortgage loan referenced as Loan No. 3748 Andre Williams, 14530 Lakeshore Drive, Clearlake, CA 95422 to ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS...(hereinafter "Buyer"). The purchase price which Buyer shall pay Seller for the Mortgage Loan is the current balance of the Promissory Note which is the sum of \$300,000. Seller agrees to sell, assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest (100%) in the entire Mortgage Loan File including the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust to Buyer, without recourse.

30. At the time the above written representations were made, MONACO, as agent for

COMPLAINT

- 31. WILLIAMS never received the loan and though MONACO had represented, verbally and in writing that the Deed of Trust would be filed and a copy provided to VAZQUEZ, the Deed of Trust was never filed.
- 32. Although WILLIAMS never received the loan promised by SUB 500, SUB 500, MONACO FINANCE, and MONACO represented to VAZQUEZ that they were servicing the loan. Defendants made monthly payments to VAZQUEZ. The checks coming first from SUB 500 and, later, through MONACO FINANCE, represented that they were made pursuant to the WILLIAMS loan. At the time each of these representations were made in the note sections of the checks, Defendants knew that the representations were false, as they had never made a loan to WILLIAMS.

Mitchell Loan

- thousand dollar (\$195,000) loan at 11.75% to Robert and Melinda Mitchell ("MITCHELL") MONACO, by and through SUB 500 represented verbally and in writing, that the monthly payment on the loan was to be \$1,909.38 with the first two years being interest only. The property, located at 11838 Cheschire St. Norwalk, CA 90650 was appraised at Five Hundred Twenty five Thousand Dollars (\$525,000.00) for a Loan to Value of Thirty Seven Percent (37%).
- 34. On or about February 6, 2008, SUB 500 by and through MICHAEL MONACO prepared a Deed of Trust to secure the MITCHELL LOAN. Defendants represented that the Deed of Trust secured the One Hundred Ninety Five Thousand Dollar loan to MITCHELL. MITCHELL is listed as the borrower and SUB 500 is listed as the Lender. The Deed of Trust is signed by MITCHELL.
- 35. On or about January 14, 2008, SUB 500 by and through MONACO, prepared an Assignment of Deed of Trust. By this fraudulent written assignment, Defendants represented that, for value received, SUB 500 transferred the Deed of Trust dated February 5, 2008 executed

COMPLAINT 6

by MITCHELL to Fifty Percent (50%) VAZQUEZ and Fifty Percent (50%) SANTOS. The notarized assignment was signed by MICHAEL MONACO, C.E.O. of SUB 500.

36. On February 19, 2008, Michael Monaco represented by a signed Bill of Sale and Assignment Agreement that:

SUB 500 Mortgage., ('Seller') hereby agrees to sell assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest in that certain residential mortgage loan referenced as Loan No. 3761 Robert Mitchell, 11838 Cheshire St. Norwalk, CA 90650 to 50% ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS...50% Gustavo M. Santos...(hereinafter "Buyer"). The purchase price which Buyer shall pay Seller for the Mortgage Loan is the current balance of the Promissory Note which is the sum of \$195,000. Seller agrees to sell, assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest (100%) in the entire Mortgage Loan File including the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust to Buyer, without recourse.

- 37. At the time the above written representations were made, MONACO, as agent for the remaining Corporate Defendants, had no intention to sell, assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest, including the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust to VAZQUEZ and SANTOS.

 MONACO, having not procured the loan for MITCHELL, knew that SUB 500 had no interest to transfer.
- 38. MITCHELL never received the loan, and though MONACO had represented, verbally and in writing, that the Deed of Trust would be filed and a copy provided to VAZQUEZ and SANTOS, the Deed of Trust was never filed.
- 39. Although, MITCHELL never received the loan promised by SUB 500 by and through MONACO, SUB 500, MONACO FINANCE, and MONACO represented to VAZQUEZ and SANTOS that they were servicing the loan. Defendants made monthly payments to VAZQUEZ and SANTOS, the checks coming first from SUB 500 and, later, through MONACO FINANCE represented that they were made pursuant to the MITCHELL loan. At the time each of these representations were made, Defendants knew that the representations were false, as they had never made a loan to MITCHELL.

Blackburn Loan

D. Blackburn ("BLACKBURN"). The security instrument represented that it encumbered the Property located at 00 Ryan Ave, Lake Elisinore, California 92530, more specifically the

- 41. On or about March 17, 2008, SUB 500 by and through MICHAEL MONACO prepared a Deed of Trust allegedly securing the BLACKBURN LOAN. Through the Deed of Trust, SUB 500, by and through MONACO represented verbally and in writing, that they were securing the Sixty Eight Thousand Dollar loan. BLACKBURN is listed as the borrower and SUB 500 is listed as the Lender.
- 42. On March 21, 2008, MONACO, on behalf of SUB 500 signed a Bill of Sale and Assignment Agreement representing:

SUB 500 Mortgage., ('Seller') hereby agrees to sell assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest in that certain residential mortgage loan referenced as Loan No. 3756 Sandra Blackburn, 3 Parcels, Lake Elsinore, CA 92530 to Carmela de Jesus Arias Kong, an unmarried woman (hereinafter "Buyer"). The purchase price which Buyer shall pay Seller for the Mortgage Loan is the current balance of the Promissory Note which is the sum of \$68,000. Seller agrees to sell, assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest (100%) in the entire Mortgage Loan File including the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust to Buyer, without recourse.

- 43. At the time the above written representations were made, MONACO, as agent for the remaining Corporate Defendants, had no intention to sell, assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest, including the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust to KONG. MONACO, having not procured the loan for BLACKBURN, knew that SUB 500 had no interest to transfer.
- 44. BLACKBURN never received the loan and though MONACO had represented, verbally and in writing that the Deed of Trust would be filed and a copy provided to KONG, the Deed of Trust was never filed.
- 45. Although BLACKBURN never received the loan promised by SUB 500 by and through MONACO, SUB 500, MONACO FINANCE, and MONACO represented to VAZQUEZ and SANTOS that they were servicing the loan. Defendants made monthly payments to KONG the checks coming first from SUB 500 and, later, through MONACO FINANCE represented that they were made pursuant to the BLACKBURN loan. At the time each of these representations were made, Defendants knew that the representations were false, as they had never made a loan to BLACKBURN.

Bradley Loan

28

though fully set forth herein..

COMPLAINT 9

Williams Loan

- 54. On or about January 11, 2008, WILLIAMS signed a note promising to pay Three Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$300,000.00) plus interest to the order of the Lender, SUB 500.
- 55. On or about January 11, 2008, SUB 500, by and through MICHAEL MONACO prepared a Deed of Trust allegedly securing the WILLIAMS LOAN.
- 56. Defendants entered into a contract with VAZQUEZ. On or about January 14, 2008, SUB 500 by and through MICHAEL MONACO prepared an Assignment of Deed of Trust. By this assignment, Defendants stated that SUB 500, for value received, transferred the Deed of Trust dated January 11, 2008 executed by WILLIAMS to VAZQUEZ. The notarized assignment was signed by MONACO, C.E.O. of SUB 500.
- 57. On January 14, 2008, MONACO signed a Bill of Sale and Assignment representing that:

SUB 500 Mortgage., ('Seller') hereby agrees to sell assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest in that certain residential mortgage loan referenced as Loan No. 3748 Andre Williams, 14530 Lakeshore Drive, Clearlake, CA 95422 to ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS...(hereinafter "Buyer"). The purchase price which Buyer shall pay Seller for the Mortgage Loan is the current balance of the Promissory Note which is the sum of \$300,000. Seller agrees to sell, assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest (100%) in the entire Mortgage Loan File including the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust to Buyer, without recourse.

58. Defendants breached the contract. Defendants did not procure the loan for WILLIAMS, and Defendants did not file a Deed of Trust on behalf of VASQUEZ.

Mitchell Loan

- 59. On or about February 6, 2008, SUB 500 by and through MICHAEL MONACO, prepared a Deed of Trust to secure the MITCHELL LOAN. Defendants represented that the Deed of Trust secured the One Hundred Ninety Five Thousand Dollar loan to MITCHELL.
- 60. On or about January 14, 2008, SUB 500 by and through MONACO, prepared an Assignment of Deed of Trust. Defendants agreed that, for value received, SUB 500 transferred the Deed of Trust dated February 5, 2008 executed by MITCHELL to Fifty Percent (50%) VAZQUEZ and Fifty Percent (50%) SANTOS. The notarized assignment was signed by MICHAEL MONACO, C.E.O. of SUB 500.
 - 61. On February 19, 2008, Michael Monaco signed a Bill of Sale and Assignment

Agreement stating that:

SUB 500 Mortgage., ('Seller') hereby agrees to sell assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest in that certain residential mortgage loan referenced as Loan No. 3761 Robert Mitchell, 11838 Cheshire St. Norwalk, CA 90650 to 50% ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS...50% Gustavo M. Santos...(hereinafter "Buyer"). The purchase price which Buyer shall pay Seller for the Mortgage Loan is the current balance of the Promissory Note which is the sum of \$195,000. Seller agrees to sell, assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest (100%) in the entire Mortgage Loan File including the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust to Buyer, without recourse.

62. Defendants breached the contract. MONACO did not procure the loan for MITCHELL. MITCHELL never received the loan. VAZQUEZ and SANTOS did not receive a copy of the Deed of Trust to secure their investment.

Blackburn Loan

- 63. On or about March 17, 2008, SUB 500 by and through MICHAEL MONACO prepared a Deed of Trust allegedly securing the BLACKBURN LOAN. Through the Deed of Trust, SUB 500, by and through MONACO represented verbally and in writing, that they were securing the Sixty Eight Thousand Dollar loan. BLACKBURN is listed as the borrower and SUB 500 is listed as the Lender.
- 64. On March 21, 2008, MONACO, on behalf of SUB 500 signed a Bill of Sale and Assignment Agreement stating:

SUB 500 Mortgage., ('Seller') hereby agrees to sell assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest in that certain residential mortgage loan referenced as Loan No. 3756 Sandra Blackburn, 3 Parcels, Lake Elsinore, CA 92530 to Carmela de Jesus Arias Kong, an unmarried woman (hereinafter "Buyer"). The purchase price which Buyer shall pay Seller for the Mortgage Loan is the current balance of the Promissory Note which is the sum of \$68,000. Seller agrees to sell, assign and transfer all of its right, title, and interest (100%) in the entire Mortgage Loan File including the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust to Buyer, without recourse.

65. Defendants breached the contract. BLACKBURN never received the loan, and

the Deed of Trust securing KONG's investment was never filed.

Bradley Loan

- 66. On the BRADLEY LOAN, the potential buyer was applying for a One Hundred Seventy Thousand (\$170,000.000) loan.
- 67. Upon SUB 500's request, by and through MONACO, to fund the BRADLEY LOAN, VAZQUEZ put up the \$170,000.00, but BRADLEY had backed out of the deal.

- 68. MONACO asked VAZQUEZ verbally and in writing if he could hold onto the money and pay VAZQUEZ an interest rate of 11 3/4%.
- 69. VAZQEUZ accepted MONACO's offer on the condition that MONACO pay the full amount back to VAZQUEZ in one year. MONACO failed to pay the loan back in the year.
- MONACO owned a peace of property in Arizona. In a letter of August 2008 MONACO represented to VAZQUEZ that if a loan was secured against the Arizona property VAZQUEZ would receive Twenty Five Thousand Dollars as a partial pay down of the loan, and if the property were sold, VAZQUEZ would receive Thirty Thousand Dollars as a partial pay down of the loan. MONACO represented both verbally and in a letter that he was, at that time, pursuing both options.
- 71. MONACO breached the contract. The Arizona Property Sold, but VAZQUEZ did not receive the sum promised by MONACO, and VAZQUEZ was damaged thereby in a sum uncertain, but to be proven at trial.

CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST BASED UPON FRAUD AND CONVERSION (Against All Defendants)

- 72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 71 and alleges the same as though fully set forth herein.
- 73. As a proximate result of Defendant MONACO's, or any of their fraudulent misrepresentation and otherwise wrongful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs are threatened to lose an amount uncertain to be proven at trial, but believed to be in excess of One Million Dollars.
- 74. By reason of the fraudulent and otherwise wrongful manner in which the Defendant MONACO or any of them, obtained their alleged right, claim or interest in and to the property, Defendant MONACO, and each of them have no legal or equitable right, claim or interest therein, but instead, Defendant MONACO and each of them are involuntary trustees holding said property and profits therefrom in constructive trust for Plaintiffs with the duty to convey the same to Plaintiffs forthwith.

DECLARATORY RELIEF

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

(Against All Defendants)

- 75. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 74 and allege the same as though fully set forth herein.
- 76. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning their respective rights and duties in that Plaintiff contends that Defendants are involuntary trustees holding funds fraudulently acquired and belonging to Plaintiffs with a duty to convey the same forthwith to Plaintiffs. Defendants dispute this contention. Based on information and belief, the property at is community property of Defendants MICHAEL MONACO and WENDY MONACO.
- 77. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances in order that Plaintiff may ascertain their rights in the equity to the property at 7563 Montien Rd., San Diego, CA 92127 as well as all funds in the Defendants' possession, eustody or control; all deposit accounts held by any Defendant; and any and all real property and interest in real property held by any defendant as Plaintiffs have suffered the above stated losses.

WHEREFORE PRAYS FOR:

- 1. Compensatory damages in an amount to be proved at trial, but estimated to exceed \$1,000,000;
 - 2. Punitive Damages for Fraud;
 - 3. Attorneys' Fees and Costs of Suit incurred herein;
 - 4. For such further relief as the Court believes just and proper. .

DATED: 11 18/08

McDONNELL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Michael B. McDonnell, Attorney for

Plaintiffs

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

The foregoing document, censisting of 13 page(s), is a full, true, and correct copy of the poriginal sopy on file in this office.

Clerk of the Superior Court





ATTURNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): Douglas M. Field, SBN 237888	FOR COURTUSE OF LEE Ryan
McDonnell & Associates, P.C.	F count
2040 Harbor Island Dr., Ste 202, San Diego, CA 92101	Clerk of the Superior Court
TELEPHONE NO.: 6192944230 FAX NO.: 6192944237	NOV 2 6 2008
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs	Deputy
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO CENTRAL DIVISION. HALL OF JUSTICE, 330 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 EAST COUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL CAJON, CA 92020 EAST COUNTY DIVISION, RAMONA BRANCH, 1428 MONTECITO RD., RAMONA, CA 92061 NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., SUITE 1000, VISTA, CA 92081 SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91910	By: LEERYAN, Deputy
PLAINTIFF(S)	JUDGE
Vazquez-Elias, et al DEFENDANT(S)	Honorable Ronald S. Prager
Monaco, et al	DEPT 71
	CASE NUMBER
AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT	37-2008-00096318-CU-BC-CTL
Under Code of Civ. Pro. § 474: FICTITIOUS NAME (Court order required once case is at issue. SDSC Local Ru Plaintiff(s), being ignorant of the true name of a defendant when the complaint in the designated defendant in the complaint by the fictitious name of	,
and having discovered the true name of defendant to be	
amends the complaint by inserting such true name in place of such fictitious nam	e wherever it appears in the complaint.
Date:	
	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)
Under Code of Civ. Pro. § 473: NAME - Add or Correct (Court order required)	RTIFICASE L. tuo, and our
Plaintiff(s), having designated 🗵 defendant 🗌 plaintiff in the complaint by the	ne name of
MONACO FINANCE	
and having discovered 🗵 name to be incorrect and the correct name is	defendant also uses the name of
MONACO FINANCE & INVESTMENTS, INC. amends the complaint by substituting adding such name(s) wherever	F 78 = 016
	the name of
MONACO FINANCE	
appears in the complaint.	
Date: November 25, 2008 Douglas M. Field	MELL 130
ODDED	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)
ORDER The above amendment to the complaint is allowed.	
\wedge	1 1
Date: DEC 0 1 2008	J DONALL
	y. Physical (20)
Ronaid 5.	Judge of the Superior Court

Michael B. McDonnell, State Bar No. 107053 1 Douglas M. Field, State Bar No. 237888 McDONNELL & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 2040 Harbor Island Drive, Suite 202 JAN 2 6 2010 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (319) 294-4230 3 Facsimile: (619) 294-4237 4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS, 5 CARMELA DE JESUS ARIAS KONG, and GUSTAVO MARTINEZ SANTOS 6 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL DISTRICT 10 Case No.: 37-2008-00096318-CU-BC-CTL ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS, an individual, 11 CARMELA DE JÈSUS ARIAS KONG, an individual, and GUSTAVO MARTINĖŽ SANTOS 12 FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION 13 Plaintiffs. VS. 14 MICHAEL MONACO, an individual, WENDY MONACO, an individual, MONACO FINANCE, 15 an unknown business entity, SUB 500 MORTGAGE, INC, an unknown business entity, COMPANY INVESTORS FINANCE, INC., a 16 Hawaii Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, 17 inclusive Defendants. 18 19 Plaintiffs ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS, CARMELA DE JESUS ARIAS KONG and 20 GUSTAVO MARTINEZ SANTOS having entered into the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment 21 attached hereto, wherein the parties stipulated and agreed to the existence of certain facts and 22 conclusions of law and to the issuance of this Final Judgment; and 23 Defendants, MICHAEL MONACO, MONACO FINANCE, and SUB 500 MORTGAGE. 24 INC. Having authorized the Court to enter judgment in this action, pursuant to stipulation, on 25 request of Plaintiffs, without notice to Defendants; and good cause appearing therefore: 26 1111 28 HII

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, DECREED, AND ADJUDGED THAT: Defendants, MICHAEL MONACO, MONACO FINANCE, and SUB 500 MORTGAGE,

"NC. have admitted that they are jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs and that Judgment be entered against said Defendants for the principal sum of \$733,000 along with interest thereon accruing at the rates as detailed below and attorneys' fees associated with the collection of said monies.

The \$733,000 Principal is comprised of the sums contractually owed Plaintiffs, and shall be paid at the following rates until such time as the debt is completely discharged:

- ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS is owed the principal sum of \$567,000,
 - A. \$300,000 of which is accruing interest at the rate of 14% from December 15, 2008,
 - B. \$97,500 of which is accruing interest at the rate of 11.75% from December 15, 2008, and
 - C. \$170,000 of which is accruing interest at the rate of 11.75% from December 15, 2008;
- GUSTAVO MARTINEZ SANTOS is owed the principal of \$97,500 which is accruing interest at 11.75% from December 15, 2008;
- CARMELA DE JESUS ARIAS KONG is owed the principal sum of \$68,000 which is accruing interest at the rate of 12% from December 15, 2008.

This Final Judgment shall take effect immediately upon entry. The clerk is directed to enter this Final Judgment forthwith.

Pated:	JAN	26	2010

HONORABLE RONALD S. PRAGER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL DISTRICT

ERNESTO VAZQUEZ-ELIAS, an individual, CARMELA DE JESUS ARIAS KONG, an individual, and GUSTAVO MARTINEZ SANTOS

Plaintiffs,

VS.

0.

<u> 19</u>

MICHAEL MONACO, an individual, WENDY MONACO, an individual, MONACO FINANCE, an unknown business entity, SUB 500 MORTGAGE, INC, an unknown business entity, COMPANY INVESTORS FINANCE, INC., a Hawaii Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive

Defendants.

Case No.: 37-2008-00096318-CU-BC-CTL

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL MONACO

Complaint Filed: 11/18/2008 Judge: Honorable Ronald S. Prager

I, Michael Monaco, declare and state as follows:

1. I have entered into a written stipulation for the entry of judgment in the Ernesto Vazquez, et al v. Monaco et al matter, SDSC Case No: 37-2008-00093618-CU-BC-CTL. It is my intention that this judgment not be dischargeable. By this declaration I am directing any Court of Bankruptcy that this judgment not be dischargeable because the liability giving rise to my stipulated judgment stems from fraudulent acts, the nature of which would not be dischargeable if it was forced to be litigated in the Bankruptcy Court in a non-dischargeability

matter. It is my intent to avoid causing these parties any further harm and I hereby stipulate that the judgment is non-dischargeable and that this declaration along with the Stipulated Entry of Judgment may be utilized to avoid the necessity of the filing of a non-dischargeability claim should this judgment be listed a chargeable debt in any bankruptcy proceeding.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and corrected, executed this March 2009, in San Diago. California.

Mochel Manaco